Productivity Growth in the US and EU: Possible Lessons for India?

Robert J. Gordon

Presentation at Sixth Annual NBER-NCAER Neemrana Conference, January 17, 2005

Income per Capita: Ultimate Measure of Economic Success

Power of Compounding: The "Rule of 70"

- 1.3% growth, doubles every 53 years (Philippines)
- 5.8% growth, doubles every 12 years (Korea)
- For very long-term growth or comparing rich and poor nations, Income per capita and productivity are the same thing
- Not the same thing for short-term changes or comparisons among rich nations
 - Even in an application to poor nations the distinction between consumption welfare and productivity matters
 - (Rob Feenstra on the terms of trade)

Income per Capita vs. Productivity: Central to Understanding the US vs. EU The ultimate goal of economic policy is growth in output per capita (Q/N) Productivity is output per aggregate hour worked (Q/A) Growth in output per capita differs from productivity when hours per capita (A/N) change

How Could Europe be So Productive Yet So Poor?

Output per Capita (Q/N) In Europe 75% of U. S. (failure to converge) Productivity 95% of U. S. The Difference:

- □ Hours per Employee (A/E)
- □ Employment Rate (E/L)
- □ Labor-force Participation Rate (L/N)

$\frac{Q}{N} = \frac{Q}{A} \cdot \frac{A}{E} \cdot \frac{E}{L} \cdot \frac{L}{N}$

Rich vs. Poor Nations: Solow Model Predicts Convergence

Technology is Freely Available

- All that Holds Back Poor Nations is Low Capital-Labor Ratio
- Marginal Product of Capital is MUCH higher in Poor Countries
- Implies Universal Convergence

POOR NATIONS GROW FASTER WHILE THEY ARE CONVERGING



Time

Cross-Country Data: Solow Model Makes a Strong Graphical Prediction

- Convergence Implies the Poorest Nations Grow Fastest
- Look at data over a long period, 1960-2000
- Plot Initial Q/N on the horizontal axis (as a percent of the U. S.)
- Plot Subsequent growth on the vertical axis
- Strong prediction that the plotted points across all countries should have a negative slope

The Failure of Convergence, 1960-2000 (PWT6 data)

Fig. 11-3



Output per capita as % of US level

Convergence in Large Asian Nations, 1960-2000



1960-80 vs. 1980-2000: India Does Much Better

Average Growth, 1960-1980 and 1980-2000



Level Relative to U. S., 1960 and 2000



How Can Europe be So Productive Yet So Poor

- The History: Europe falls back 1870-1950 and then catches up
- The catch-up, at least until 1995, was almost complete in productivity (Q/A)
- The catch-up petered out in output per capita (Q/N)
- Why?
 - Must be that Europe's A/N is lower
 - When did Europe's A/N decline?
 - How is it decomposed, A/E vs. E/N?

The Following Graphs are Based on a NBER WP

"Two Centuries of Economic Growth: Europe Chasing the American Frontier"

- How to Find this and other of my papers and conference presentations:
- Just type "Robert J. Gordon" into Google
- Don't type "Robert Gordon" which will give you Robert Gordon University in Scotland

Per Capita Real GDP: Another Failure of Convergence

per Capita Real GDP, Europe and the United States, Selected Years, 1820-2000



Real GDP per Hour

Real GDP per Hour, Europe and the United States, Selected Years, 1870-2000



Output per Capita and Output per Hour

Ratio of Europe to the United States, Output per Capita and Output per Hour, selected years, 1820-2000



Decomposing the Decline in A/N into A/E and E/N

Ratio of Europe to the United States, Ratio of Output per Capita to Output per Hour, Decomposed into Hours/ Employee and Employee/Population Ratios, selected years, 1870-2000



The Basic Irony: Europe Catches Up in Productivity by Cutting Hours per Capita

- Making Labor Expensive to Hire with High Minimum Wage and High Payroll and Social Taxes on Labor
- Pushes Firms Back up the Labor Demand Curve
 - Hours are Cut
 - Marginal and Average Product of Labor are Raised
 - Shortage of jobs, esp. low-skilled job

Standard of living: held down by vacations (H/E)

- Have citizens chosen to use their prosperity to take longer vacations in contrast to Americans?
- Have Europeans been forced to take vacations because of union or parlimentary politics?
- Not Just Vacations, Short Work Weeks
 The French Hours Police

Europe's Low E/N Matters as much as Low H/E

High Unemployment – High Youth Unemployment – High long-term Unemployment Low Labor-force Participation – Of Youth - Of Elderly Average retirement age in France is 58

Social Consequences of Low E/N

 Lack of Job Opportunities for Youth:
 Late Development of Independence
 U. S. Youths working in High School and College

- Consequences for Adults
 - Late Marriage Ages
 - Low Fertility Rates
 - Italy: Living at Home with Mama

Phelps: Corporatism in EU, Competition in U. S.

- Corporatism: "penalties, impediments, prohibitions, mandates" that dampen "creative destruction"
 - Does this sound familiar, Indians?
- Youth in Europe, culture of "dependency"
- European youth expect college education for free
- American teens develop independence at age 16 by working at McDonalds
- American college students work and borrow to pay part of their college expenses

Arc de Triomphe in every U.S. City? **Is Q/N Exaggerated?** Three categories of reasons – Excess Energy Use Harsh Climate, Air conditioning Low petrol taxes, high petrol use Overly dispersed metro areas, more energy use Low density means commuting congestion 2m people in prisons, wasted lives and resources U. S. Medical Care Inefficiency

- Medicare Financing Crisis
- Lack of universal health insurance
- Defined benefit pension plans and retiree medical costs hurt "legacy" firms
 - General Motors vs. Toyota

Summarizing Welfare Comparison

Started with Europe/ US Ratios **O/N** 77 Q/A 93 One-third of A/N difference is voluntary Q/N 82 Q/A 93 One-half of remaining YPC difference disappears because U.S. GDP is overstated 91

The New Productivity Growth Divergence: 1995-2003

Growth rates of GDP per Hour Worked – U. S. 2.3

- Europe 1.2
- Difference 1.1

Over eight years, causes Europe/US productivity ratio to fall back from 94 to 85 percent

The U. S. Productivity Growth "Explosion"

LP Actual vs Trend



Basic Paradox about IT

Both Europe and U. S. Rapidly Adopted New Economy Technology in late 1990s

- Personal Computers
- Web Access
- Mobile Phones
- But Europe hasn't taken off
- Conclusion: Role of IT in U. S. revival must have been exaggerated

Finding the Culprit Industries

Output per Hour by Industry Group, EU and US, 1990-2003



Where is the Difference? The Van-Ark Decomposion

- 55% retail trade
- 24% wholesale trade
- 20% securities
- Rest of the economy: ZERO

U. S. negative in telecom, backwardness of mobile phones

Europe in Retailing

 Not uniform – Carrefour, Ikea
 U. S. "Big Boxes" (Wal-Mart, Home Depot, Best Buy, Target)

- Europe:
 - Land-use regulation, planning approval
 - Shop-closing restrictions
 - Protection of central-city shopping precincts
 - The MIX of retailing heavily skewed to oldfashioned small retail units
 - Paris drug stores vs. Walgreens

Explanations of Rapid U. S. Productivity Growth: 2000-2003

- Unusual degree of downward pressure on profits
- Intangible capital became important after ICT boom
 - Productivity benefits of ICT investment could have been delayed
 - Mismeasurement of timing of productivity growth
- Questions: Profits are now booming, shouldn't productivity effect go away?
- Productivity growth <2.0% 04:Q3 + 04:Q4</p>

Lessons for India from Comparing EU and US

First, We Must Qualify This Heavily

- Nothing in EU or US remotely comparable to the poverty population in India.
- Indians on their own in policies to reach that "last mile" into the rural villages
- Corporatism vs. Competition: India is the Expert on Corporatism
 - India also knows about small traditional retailing
 - India is an expert on land-use planning, a key explanation of why Europe has lagged behind US in retail productivity growth
 - Encourage Development of Large-Format Retailing

Lessons, Part II

A Subtle Conclusion about Infrastructure France Has Better Infrastructure than US Freeways = US Interstate Highways ■ TGV Paris: metro, RER, busses (with electronic signs) But France has slow Economic Growth Conclusion: Infrastructure is neither necessary nor sufficient for econ growth

More Thoughts About India

- India is Long on Engineering Graduates and Short on Roads
- Divert Resources by developing an educational opportunity bank, make ugrads borrow, then repay contingent on future income
- Put freed resources into primary, secondary education

Final Thoughts About Infrastructure

- Why Should the Government Raise its Fiscal Deficit to Finance an Airport?
- The World is Waiting to Develop India's Airports
 - The Big 3: BAA, Schipol, and FRAPORT
 - Paraphrasing Churchill 1941 ("give us the tools and we will finish the job")
 - Give us the land and we will build your airports